be that at some time in the future the General Assembly in its wisdom might see fit to go back to a May primary.

It occurs to me that with the schedule laid down, that there just might not be adequate time for litigation after the General Assembly had concluded its work if litigation were to actually ensue, litigation prior to the primary. It is for that reason that the amendment is submitted.

THE CHAIPMAN: Any questions to the sponsor of the amendment?

DELEGATE GALLAGHER: Mr. Chairman and Ladies and Gentlemen, without getting into the merits of the proposed Amendment 4, I don't think it does what the sponsors would want it to do because all it does is to put the Redistricting Commission in operation a year earlier than it would be called for under Section 3.03. I really think if they want the legislature to act upon it in the year prior to the year, that the amendment ought to come in 3.03(A) because they will want the legislature to take action in the year prior to the election, I assume.